

Protocols	Protocols can be entered into. Formally, they are not protocols unless there is affirmative assent given by the various parties. Before this, the ideas behind protocols are operational concepts. This describes concepts which are used to prefigure activity. Concept of operations is a term taken from the military and business. In my usage, this refers not only to an integrated response concept, but also includes all the atomic concepts which make it up.
Second-Order Protocols	“Second-order” means that these protocols are to be used to make new protocols. It is a second-order operational concept, which means it corresponds to the activity of generating operational concepts and protocols. We can also say that second order operational concepts are something like “values” or “strategy” as opposed to specific patterned behaviors or tactics. In theory, there can be third-order operational concepts, ad infinitum. This is the space for collecting “higher order” operational concepts, which tend toward our direct ineffable experience of the world.
Figurative Language Protocol	Poverty of Language. Nagarjuna? Concepts are empty. Have to have more to say here. Concepts don’t obtain, Nietzsche’s critique of concepts. Reference to a real world out there always requires a meta-theory. So what language is, is a method of spinning fantasies. Also mention FICINT here. Norms are fictions because normative conditions do not obtain in the real world. Ineffability.
Causal Uncertainty Protocol	We don’t know how causality works in the world. Strictly speaking, we don’t know why anything happens. We have no certainty about the world. We have access to our impressions, what is “presented to us” including our bodies, etc. But we don’t really know what the cause of that presentation is (God, simulation, aliens, illuminati, etc.)
Sovereign World Protocol	The world is sovereign, i.e. anything can happen and we can’t really complain. But we also partake of the sovereignty of the world so we also get to “be the emergency.” This is the beginnings of Narcissism-good as well as radical acceptance. Fold in Negative capability and stoicism, Epictetus. Fold in here the other and the Other
Good Incarnation Protocol	Given the above, what we are here to do is to have as good an incarnation as possible. Good comes from “fitting.” Technically we all lead fitting lives, but our choices are also part of that, our intervening on our own experience. Incarnation to be speaking to all those who are in bodies. Narcissism is good.
Zero-Trust Protocol	Incarnated persons can’t be sure what others will do. The will of the other is uncertain. We accept that absolute trust cannot exist, since we can’t be wholly certain what others will do. Acknowledge informal trust, which assumes uncertainty but retains optimism. So maybe we don’t start with trust as in “good” intentions, but rather we trust that there is some sort of integrity to the other, some causally determined way in which their decisions are made. Paranoia is good.
Dependent Co-Arising Protocol	Nagarjuna and emptiness. But basically we are dependent on each other. Positively and negatively: positively if in same division of labor, negatively not to hurt us. Things are emergent phenomena. It is also that we have to go out and take risks, engage with others, because we cannot get by on our own.
Inherent Risk Protocol	In everything we do, we are taking risks. So we can’t eliminate risk, but we have to accept it. Which also involves accepting that we can lose, as in SW protocol. We aim to take good risks. This is judged by being willing to accept the losses that might come with the risk, as well as optimistic forecast about the benefit which will be gained. Sometimes, the only way to get or achieve something necessary is to take a big risk.
Emergency Response Protocol	The framework for activity is emergency response. What is emergent is exigent. This can be coming from inside us, or things the world is foisting on us. Emergency has to do with emerge, what is “coming up.” All we are doing is responding to what has “come up” for us. Come up from the subconscious, from the collective unconscious, what has appeared from the One, the ineffable substrate of the world.
Response Coordination Protocol	The purpose of protocols is to be able to coordinate emergency response within a zero-trust environment. Coordination is “To advance an analysis and exchange of information systematically among principals who have or may have a need to know certain information to carry out specific incident management responsibilities.” It is to find others who may have a need to know specific information and sharing it with them.
First-Order Protocols	These are more like “tactics” as compared with strategy. This is what “operational concept” usually means. It is a model of a situation, actors, and behaviors which describes (in my terms) a coordinated response to an emergency(-of-emergencies). Operational concepts can also be just for one person, and in this sense coordinate the individual’s actions over time.
Transaction Protocol	Transaction is in the present, obviating some trust concerns. Minimize promise-making. This is also in the sense of “trans-action,” meaning orthogonal to the action-inaction distinction.

Dark Forest Protocol	Some of us should stay hidden. Sometimes we can only stay safe and retain and hone capacities. This also functions as an important hyperstitional aspect. This also applies to what we don't say, even to relatively trusted people. This has to do with seeking not to provoke their paranoia
Sacrificial Transparency Protocol	Sometimes great risks must be taken, where chances of survival are low. This is also because we need to spend our lives somewhere, we are securing it in order to have a good incarnation. So sometimes security (freedom from worry) relies on us doing what we came here to do. Otherwise, we are wasting our chance to do what we wanted to
Upaya Double-Bind Protocol	Skill-in-means. Targeted double-bind inception. Also like the koan. The double bind is a conversational tactic with multiple logical levels. Actually, three. The double bind is a triple bind. On one level, an injunction. At another level, a counter-injunction. And lastly an injunction that you cannot point out the contradiction. If this is to be comic, then either pointing out the contradiction is what we want them to do, or the zen-like moment of clarity which comes from the mental stress is what we want.
Transdomain, Translogical Maneuver Protocol	Basically mental agility. Moving between conceptual, warfighting, and domains of emergency. As well as moving through logical types or levels of abstraction
Juxtaposition Protocol	Surprising the other by combining elements which are not usually combined. Also a version of the double-bind. The choice in what to confront them with is the upaya bit.
Domain Implosion Protocol	Bring domains into coterminous integration with each other. The economic is the political is the sexual, etc. No conceptual boundaries. They should be described as pertaining to the fundamental categories of incarnation.
Logical Type Implosion Protocol	Meta-self-referentiality. There are perhaps some examples of abstractive closure, yes perhaps similar to the set paradoxes. This brings the figurative-ness of language into play.
Meta-Self-Referentiality Protocol	High levels of self-awareness, and meta-meta-meta-... cognition. Leaving one level in reserve, the level from which is being commented, but laying out a detailed and meta-aware statement which responds to the other but also to the Other
Corrosive Pleasure Protocol	Eldritch goodness. Pleasure which is also corrosive of social norms. Corrosive of normative sociality. Corrosive pleasure aggressively destroys notions of the normative subject while also giving pleasure. We might even say "inflicting" pleasure.
Asexual/Non-Sexual Eroticism Protocol	Reduces risk, and points toward the crucial ways in which we all feed off and feed into the world. Connected to a wider perspective on generativity which sees "culture" as a domain of social reproduction analogous to sexual reproduction, and at this point, more important. We could stop having any new people be born right now and we could probably sustain life for a long time. So this "asexual" reproduction functions mainly through technology and culture (which are coterminous domains). We can see that we can find a "cultural singularity" through mutual acculturation of one another.
Vicarious living Protocol	Living through the other. Kind of inevitable given that we live according to the expectations of others, which relate to the continuity of society beyond any one person or bloodline or anything like that. So if we think we're going to die, then we get pleasure thinking how it will be after we are gone, and we try to set things up to make that happen. This cuts against pure egoism, but not purely. The ones we are invested in could be similar to us, hence our choices are conditioned by the circumstances of our incarnation. And we can also simply live vicariously through the strong, even if they are hostile or destructive to us.
Glaucus' Lingerie Protocol	The principle that what seems to separate us from the godhead is actually charming and beautiful. Experience at all is only possible through incarnation, which seems partial and flawed. But this is the only way that the divine can appear to itself. Derives from Plotinus' Myth of Glaucus used to explain his concept of the undescended soul. The doctrine holds that we all remain in henosis, or union with the one. Plotinus holds that another part of the soul "descends," becoming corrupted by the "material world." This doctrine updates this by say that, on the contrary, the "material world" of incarnation is the only possible realm of self-experience of the divine. Hence the barnacles worn by Glaucus in the myth make him more desirable and enticing, as wearing lingerie can make the body more appealing.
Erotic Domain of Warfare Protocol	The strategy of shifting from a martial conception of a conflict to an emergency. Take security concerns into perspective. This protocol is the aggressive application of trying to undermine the consistency of the subject and the will which is a taken-for-granted element of conflict. The framing is shifted to the erotic emergencies of the parties involved. Conflict is a sense in which two or more individuals or groups find each other to be erotic emergencies (also see here the homology between the erotic encounter and the martial engagement).
No Spiritual Hierarchy Protocol	No one is in possession of spiritual "truth." This deep impression cannot be directly formulated into words, and in any case let the "spiritual" masters agree among themselves. But intrinsically, everything is doing exactly what it needs to be doing at all times. Principle of sufficient reason plus inherent limitations of incarnation. It is a question of logical orders. Other individuals might "see through" problems at other layers of functioning, but their own auto-referential layer (i.e. how was I caused?) will always remain opaque.

Cognitive Affective Protectionism	The protection of new sites of flourishing from outside cognitive and affective disruption, until they are ready to join in with the full strength of the developed forms of life.
War inside the state	
Normal Situation	
Metonymy	
Asymmetry Protocol	
Emergency of Enmity	
Virtual Nation	
Transtemporal Causality	
Internal Emergency	
Take the same away from the same	
Misanthropy/Messianism	
Revolutionary Times Protocol	
Notes: These are a kind of additive system. It describes some basic unites and their functioning. And then allows for emergence from there. Exigencies provoke responses, which create more exigencies. Meanwhile, skeptical subjects	“Protocol” is a term that draws on the sense of BDSM, but also for example cryptocurrency protocols, standard operating procedures in any military or bureaucracy, and also spiritual protocol as in a sacred tea ceremony. The idea here is to formulate “high protocol” without simple domination or submission.

Domination and submission are never absolute. Commands require understanding. Fundamentally a response to uncertainty. The emergency of uncertainty. For both, the relation is one of mediating uncertainty. Giving control is to say, what will happen is what you will. And taking control is to say, what will happen will be what I will. These remain fantasies. The proper attitude to take is not even being a switch, but rather exploring activity outside of the domination/submission schema. It should also be seen that when d/s appears to be in play, what actually occurs is that each side because an archetype. The dominant is obeyed as an avatar of a given principle, the submissive is commanded on the basis of an internalized system of second-order operational concepts (values)	It is only a concept if an agent created it. Is that real? Can a machine generate concepts? That would be a good experiment
--	--